

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,246	02/26/2002	Bruce H. Hanson	SWIM-018/00US	3482	
7055	7055 7590 05/16/2006			EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			JOHNSON, JERROLD D		
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/082,246	HANSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jerrold Johnson	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 April 2006.			
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	s application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte</i> Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-17,21,22 and 24 is/are pend	ding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-17,21,22 and 24</u> is/are reject	cted.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4/			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.	·		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***	· ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bu	` '''			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ilist of the centiled copies not	receivea.		
Attachment(c)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		(s)/Mail Date		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 6-9 and 15, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claims including all the limitations of an allowable product claim or rejoined process claim are presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Objections

Claim 12 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 12 sets forth that the first binding member extends "between the length" of the second constraining wall. Claim 16 sets forth that the first binding member extends along the

length of the second constraining wall. The language of claim 12 is confusing, the language of claim 16 is clear, but a change in claim 12 to correspond to the language of claim 16 will require the cancellation of claim 16 to avoid a double inclusion. Appropriate correction is required.

The independent claims all set forth "open ends" in the preamble portion and "partially opened ends" thereafter. This inconsistency requires correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1, 4-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whiteside US 5,549,202.

Whiteside discloses a flat mail sleeve packaging system having first 12, second 10, and third 11 constraining walls forming between them a "U" shape, end caps 21,62 extending only partially across a width of the second constraining wall so as to define partially opened ends. With respect to the limitations drawn to size of the constraining walls relative to the bound product stacked thereon, the constraining walls of Whiteside are inherently capable of being adapted for having product placed therebetween in the manner set forth in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3,12-17,21,22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whiteside US 5,549,202, as set forth above, in view of Christensen US 1,296,765.

Whiteside, again as set forth above, discloses the packaging system substantially as claimed. Whiteside further includes the second binding member 26.

Whiteside does not disclose the first binding member.

The use of first and second binding members that are perpendicular to each other is so well known as to be the norm in many packaging systems. In many situations, two binding members are required to properly secure a product within a packaging system and to provide additional stability to the product within the packaging system. Christensen shows this arrangement of first and second binding members in Fig. 1.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the packaging system of Whiteside with an additional binding member that runs along the length of the second constraining wall and is perpendicular to the first

Art Unit: 3728

member. A second binding member would prevent product from prematurely separating from the packaging system, and to provide stability.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerrold Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,246

Art Unit: 3728

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jdj

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Page 6